	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/773,050	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Theresa T. Snider	1744
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Theresa T. Snider</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Siminski.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-16		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Siminski of allowabilty of claims 1-16; Mr. Siminski and Examiner discussed prior art cited on PTO-1449 and PTO-892, therefore confirming allowability of claims 1-16. Mr. Siminski agreed to amendment to claim 1, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to provide for proper antecedence.